



# 汤森路透

## Westlaw Classic 法律数据库

主讲人：张引屏 Iris ZHANG，客户产品培训师

---

2025年5月13日

# 关于汤森路透

可信赖的专业知识、强大的技术、先进的人工智能和行业领先的洞见，帮助专业人洞悉当下，领航未来。



我们连接全球市场，为客户提供智能信息和解决方案。

- 法律
- 税务与会计
- 风险与合规
- 全球贸易管理



请关注官方公众号  
了解更多

# 法律 LEGAL

## 汤森路透是全球领先的专业信息供应商。

汤森路透法律事业部以完备的内容、专有技术及专业知识助力法律专业人士进行法律实践，业务管理，并蓬勃发展。我们提供内容、专业知识和专有技术集于一体的完备法律解决方案，从而帮助客户实现更智能高效的工作方式，精简运营流程、加强内外部合作，洞悉行业发展。汤森路透法律事业部专注服务法律市场有200多年的历史，拥有众多世界知名的法律产品品牌，是法律行业最领先，最值得信赖的合作伙伴。



### 工作流程管理软件

- Legal Tracker
- Contract Express



### 法律检索

- Practical Law
- Westlaw Classic, Westlaw Asia et.



### 律所业务拓展


- ALB亚洲法律杂志



# Westlaw Classic

## 一触即达的全面法律研究

申请试用



Westlaw数据库诞生於1975年，在2016年1月1日进行了全球同步升级，为法律专业人士设计的，有别于其他搜索服务的检索工具，其内容由法学专业人士提供，以权威的英美法国家原始法律资料，以及首屈一指的二次法律资料为基础，独家研发的世界顶尖的WestSearch的法律搜索引擎，倍受英美法国家法律研究人员推崇的Key Number系统，Headnote判例摘要，KeyCite分析工具，这些产品将为您提供最好的法律检索服务。数据库常见的使用人群包括知识产权专业，国际法专业，比较法专业。其在美国法学院、律师事务所中的覆盖率几乎高达100%。

# 权威资料内容覆盖

申请试用



判例	法规	期刊评论
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 美国（联邦&amp;州）,自1658年起</li><li>• 英国，自1865年起</li><li>• 欧盟，自1952年起</li><li>• 澳大利亚，自1903年起</li><li>• 中国香港，自1905年起</li><li>• 加拿大，自1825年起</li><li>• 韩国</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 美国，1789年至今全文注释</li><li>• 英国：自1627年起（全文整理）</li><li>• 完整的欧盟法规</li><li>• 中国香港，自1997年起</li><li>• 加拿大，全文整理</li><li>• 巴巴多斯</li><li>• 开曼群岛</li><li>• 韩国</li><li>• 苏格兰</li></ul>	<p>近20个国家和地区，超过1,500种带有ISSN的法学期刊与法学评论，覆盖当今80%以上英文法学核心期刊</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 《哈佛法律评论》</li><li>• 《欧洲竞争法评论》</li><li>• 《刑法报告》</li><li>• 《McGill法律评论》</li><li>• 《墨尔本大学法律评论》</li><li>• 《香港法律期刊》</li></ul>

## 其他内容：

- 顶尖的诉讼资料
- 专著教材百科全书：近30个国家和地区法律实务以及学术著述
- 词典：独家完整收录布莱克法律大辞典第十一版


# 专业内容编辑整理

**Key Number System** – West钥匙码系统是最令人推崇的美国法律分类系统，其将某一案例与美国所有法律中的相关内容相连接-我们核心的知识产权资产。

**Headnotes** – 判例摘要，我们的核心优势，Headnotes让您轻松理解法律争议与法律之间的联系，理解判决的法律依据。

**Keycite** – 关键引用，目前业界最完整最准确最及时的更新引用服务。您可以通过使用Keycite来分辨一个判例或者成文法或者行政裁决是否仍是好法。

**Notes of Decisions** – 法律适用最完整和最准确的解释。



# Westlaw Classic 主页

The screenshot shows the Westlaw Classic homepage with the following elements and callouts:

- Callout 1:** Points to the top navigation bar containing links for TRAINING, Folders, History, Favorites, Alerts, and a user profile icon.
- Callout 2:** Points to the search bar with the placeholder text "Enter terms, citations, databases, anything ...".
- Callout 3:** Points to the "Browse" section, which includes tabs for Content, Federal Materials, State Materials, Practice Areas, and Tools.
- Callout 4:** Points to the "Executive Action & Litigation" section, which lists various legal materials and news items.

The "Browse" section lists the following categories:

- Cases
- Topics & Key Numbers
- Trial Court Orders
- Statutes & Court Rules
- Regulations
- Administrative Decisions & Guidance
- Secondary Sources
- Forms
- Briefs
- Trial Court Documents
- Expert Materials
- Jury Verdicts & Settlements
- Proposed & Enacted Legislation
- Proposed & Adopted Regulations
- Arbitration Materials

The "Executive Action & Litigation" section lists the following items:

- Executive Action & Litigation **NEW**
- Artificial Intelligence Legal Materials & News
- Civil Rights Legal Materials & News
- COVID-19 Legal Materials & News
- Dockets
- Court Wire Alerts Archive
- News
- Business Law Center
- Company Investigator
- Sample Agreements
- Legislative History
- Trial Transcripts & Oral Arguments
- Intellectual Property
- International Materials
- Directories

The bottom section, "News and Insight from Reuters", features a headline: "DOGE staffer advised on cuts to Justice Dept grants, document and source say" dated 4/29/2025 | REUTERS LEGAL.

1. 个性化工具

2. West Search 检索框

3. 内容浏览列表

4. 专项内容子库

# West Search 检索框

TRAINING ▾

Folders ▾

History ▾

★ Favorites ▾

🔔 Alerts

👤 ▾

THOMSON REUTERS

WESTLAW CLASSIC ▾

Enter terms, citations, databases, anything ...

▾

All State & Federal

🔍

Advanced

☰

📁 Browse

All Content

Federal Materials

State

Cases

🔑 Topics & Key Numbers

Trial Court Orders

Statutes & Court Rules

Regulations

Administrative Decisions & Guidance

Secondary Sources

West Search 搜索类型	例子
引称检索法 (citation)	93 S.C.T. 1817 6 U.S.C.A. § 104 2018 WL 1384564
当事人名称检索法 (find by party name)	Citizens united v. federal election commission
关键引用 (keycite)	Keycite 93 sct 1817 or kc:93 sct 1817
出版物或者子数据库	Mn-st (可以检索到明尼苏达州的法令与法庭规定)
Boolean术语与连接符	Dedicate /s “private road” “public road”
描述型词语	Can a municipality be held liable for civil rights violations by its employees

service ever

Law


ry Changes

idge

THOMSON REUTERS®



# West Search 检索框




## 查找法律术语

在West Search中直接输入【define: 法律术语】即可直接进入布莱克法律大词典对该术语的查询结果。

## Westlaw Answers

- ✓对某些常见类型的法律问题的具体答案
- ✓包括与权威法院判决的链接
- ✓提示问题会出现在检索提示的上方



# West Search 高级检索

THOMSON REUTERS  
WESTLAW CLASSIC

Enter terms, citations, databases, anything ...

All State & Federal

Advanced

TRAINING Folders History Favorites Alerts

THOMSON REUTERS  
WESTLAW

Cases

advanced

All State & Federal

Advanced

Home > Cases

Advanced Search: Cases

Use at least one field to create a Boolean Terms & Connectors query.

Find documents that have

All of these terms e.g., construction defect (searched as construction & defect) Term frequency

Any of these terms e.g., physician surgeon (searched as physician OR surgeon) Term frequency

This exact phrase e.g., medical malpractice (searched as "medical malpractice") Term frequency

"Exclude documents" requires at least one additional field.

These terms

Document Fields (Boolean Terms & Connectors Only)

Date All

Party Name

Citation

Synopsis

Cases

Advanced Search Fields

Advanced Search Fields

Connectors and Expanders

& AND

/s In same sentence

or OR

+s Preceding within sentence

/p In same paragraph

Phrase

+p Preceding within paragraph

% But not

/n Within n terms of

! Root expander

+n Preceding within n terms of

Universal character

# Prefix to turn off plurals and equivalents

- ✓ 在首页中点击 “Advanced” 即可进入高级查询页面；
- ✓ 在各个子库中点击 “Advanced”，即可进入各个子库的高级查询页面。前4个字段在各个高级查询页面均相同，其他字段，根据各个子库的特点，有所不同。

10

Thomson Reuters™

# 布尔连接符的使用技巧

Westlaw支持使用Boolean术语与连接符的检索方式。您可以就相关的问题，输入问题中的术语，并采用连接符表达术语之间的特定关联。比如，您可以要求两个术语出现在同一句话或者同一段内容中。

- 为了使搜索结果更为精确，建议在输入搜索问题之前先选择司法辖区或者进入相关内容板块。
- 当没有与其他连接符和字段一同使用时，引号、连接号（&）、空格都视为是描述性术语的一部分。如果希望针对某一特定语句进行搜索，请使用高级检索(Advanced Search)功能，或者仅使用AND或者OR连接词（无其他连接符、扩展符或文件字段）。

符号写法	作用	示例
&	两个词须在同一个文件中	merger & acquisition
/s	两个词须在同一个句子中	breach /s fundamentally
or 空格	其中一个词在文件中出现	landlord or landowner
+s	两个词在同一个句子中，且第一个词出现在第二个之前	disclos! +s interest
/p	两个词须在同一段中	china /p anti-dump
“”	引号中的词被作为词组处理，不可分	“comparative negligence”
+p	两个词在同一段中，且第一个词出现在第二个之前	violate +p regulation
%	文件中不包括该符号后面的词，如有其它连接符，须在检索指令的最后使用该符号，以免排除掉相关文件	conspiracy % crim!
/n	两个词之间最多出入不超过n个词，n的个数值（1到255）	physical /3 injury
!	用在检索词尾部，检索不同结尾的词	negligen!，可以检索出negligence、negligent、negligently
+n	两个词之间最多不超过n个词，且第一个词先出现，n的个数值（1到255）	freedom +2 speech
*	用在检索词的中间或尾部，代替单个字母	wom*n,可以检索出woman、women
#	用在单数形式的检索词前，使检索结果限制为单数，不包括复数	#damage,只检索damage,不包括damages

# 检索结果筛选

各种类型的资料均可通过左侧目录直接筛选和浏览最相关结果，如判例，成文法，二次资源等。

THOMSON REUTERS  
WESTLAW CLASSIC

All Content

patent punitive damages

VIEW:

Overview15

Cases2,701

Key Numbers10

Trial Court Orders127

Statutes & Court Rules174

Regulations50

Administrative Decisions & Guidance10,000

Secondary Sources3,611

Forms159

Briefs2,124

Trial Court Documents10,000

Expert Materials1,395

Jury Verdicts & Settlements3,878

Proposed & Enacted Legislation4,985

Proposed & Adopted Regulations642

Arbitration Materials4,102

All results43,948

Overview (15)

Select all items

No items selected

Cases

View all 2,701

Read Corp. v. Portec, Inc.

United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit. | July 10, 1992 | 970 F.2d 816 | 1992 WL 158788

Tristrata Technology, Inc. v. ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

United States District Court, D. Delaware. | April 12, 2004 | 314 F.Supp.2d 356 | 2004 WL 869670

Key Numbers - Points of Law Found in Cases

View all 10

PATENTS

2911918 Damages > Enhanced or punitive damages

2911912 Damages > Elements, measure, and amount

2911914 Damages > Reasonable royalty; hypothetical negotiation

2911834 Weight and sufficiency > Profits and damages

2911911 Damages > In general

12

Reuters



# 检索结果过滤

**NARROW:**  
[Select Multiple Filters](#)

**Search within results**

**Jurisdiction**

<input type="checkbox"/> Federal	6,528
<input type="checkbox"/> State	3,469
<input type="checkbox"/> Commonwealth Puerto Rico	2
<input type="checkbox"/> Tribal Little River Band Ottawa Trial Ct.	1

**Date**  

All

**Reported Status**

<input type="checkbox"/> Reported	4,083
<input type="checkbox"/> Unreported	5,917

**Topic**

<input type="checkbox"/> Civil	9,153
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intellectual Property	2,555
<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal	1,527

## 多维度过滤器 – 二次查询/筛选

TRAINING Folders History Favorites Alerts

SSIC All Content apple All State & Federal

Cases (10,000)

1 - 20 Sort by: Relevance

☐ Select all items No items selected

1. **Floyd v. Amazon.com Inc.**  
United States District Court, W.D. Washington  
This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Amazon.com Inc.'s motion to dismiss Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the first amended complaint and to...

Relevance  
Date  
Most Cited  
Most Used  
Court Level  
Term Frequency

9, 2024 Slip Copy 2024 WL 1533217

opposed motion to seal parts of the declaration of Mark A. Perry and all of the attached exhibits (Dkt. ... filed in support of Apple's and Defendant Amazon.com Inc.'s

PATENTS - Computers and Electronics. Expert's testimony regarding reasonable royalty rate for infringement of patent related to smartphone technology was admissible.

RELATED DOCUMENTS

Secondary Sources

[§ 2:16. Establishing trademark rights—Trademark priority—Tacking](#)

Corporate Counsel's Guide to Intellectual Property: Patents, Copyrights, Trademarks, & Trade Secrets

...Even assuming **Apple** is entitled to tack its use of **APPLE** MUSIC for production and distribution of sound recordings onto **Apple** Corps' 1968 use of **APPLE** for gramophone

下载文件可以选择的格式包括MS word, RTF, PDF, CSV

- 检索结果智能排序：相关度、发布时间、引用次数等
- 检索结果过滤及筛选：显示较少/较多，多重过滤器
- 检索结果管理及交付：更新提醒、保存、下载、分享

# 检索和浏览结果使用

Document

Filings (3)

Negative Treatment (1)

History (3)

Citing References (31)

Table of Authorities

Return to Folder

Go to

AA

United States District Court, D. Colorado.

Natasha WEIL, Plaintiff,

v.

CARECORE NATIONAL, LLC, a New York limited liability company, Defendant.

Civil Action No. 10-cv-00799-CMA-CBS

June 14, 2011

Hon. Christine M. Arguello

Dockets: 5004

Cases: 2926

Trial Court Documents: 193

See Full Profile

...

illeging retali

violation of Americans with Disabilities

ry judgment.

Holdings: The District Court, [Christine M. Arguello, J.](#), held that:

1 employee was not disabled under ADA, and any belief that she was disabled was unreasonable, and

2 reasonable employee would not have found employer's action to be materially adverse, precluding FMLA claim.

Motion granted.

West Headnotes (12)

1 Civil Rights

Establishment of qualified individual

Element of ADA Employment

Discrimination Claim

Protected Activity Element of Title VII

Retaliation Claim

Labor and Employment

Time Off, Leave

Employee Family and Medical Leave Act

Secondary Sources

Establishing Employer's

Discriminatory Motive in Action to

Recover for Employer's Retaliation for

Employee's Exercise of Rights Under

Family and Medical Leave Act, in

Violation of s 105(a) of Act (29 U.S.C.A.

s 2615(a))

190 A.L.R. Fed. 491 (Originally published in

2003)

...The Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA)

Save to Folder

Add a Note

Highlight

Copy with Reference (Standard)

Annotation注释功能:

✓ 添加标注

✓ Highlight标记

✓ 带引用的内容复制

Reuters™

## 二次资源 – 法学著作、期刊文章、百科全书及布莱克法律大辞典

THOMSON REUTERS  
**WESTLAW CLASSIC** Secondary Sources Search Secondary Sources Advanced

Home  
Secondary Sources Add to Favorites  
See specific publication for coverage information. Search specific content below.

**By Type**

- American Law Reports
- CLE & Seminar Materials
- Jurisprudence & Encyclopedias
- Jury Instructions

**By State**

- Kentucky
- Louisiana
- Maine
- Maryland
- North Carolina
- Ohio
- Oklahoma
- Oregon

**Law Reviews & Journals**

- KeyRules
- Law Reviews & Journals
- Legal Newspapers & Newsletters
- Restatements & Principles of the Law

**Texts & Treatises**

- 50 State Surveys
- Wolters Kluwer (CCH)

**TOOLS & RESOURCES**

- Full Secondary Sources Library
- Black's Law Dictionary
- Current Index to Legal Periodicals
- International Materials
- Law Reviews & Journals Index
- Legal Resource Index
- Rise of American Law
- Secondary Sources Index
- Westlaw Bulletins & Topical Highlights
- Words & Phrases
- World Journals
- More Secondary Sources Resources

美国法学期刊  
Law Reviews & Journals

美国法学专著、教材  
Texts & Treatises

美国法律百科全书  
Jurisprudence & Encyclopedias

可以查找相关领域热点话题

# 判例报告

Keycite – 关键引用  
通过使用Keycite来  
分辨一个判例或者  
成文法或者行政裁  
决是否仍是好法。

Headnotes – 判例  
摘要，让您理解法律  
争议与法律之间的联  
系，理解判决的法律  
依据

THOMSON REUTERS  
**WESTLAW CLASSIC** ▾ All Content patent punitive damages ▾ All State & Federal

**Read Corp. v. Portec, Inc.**  
United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit. July 10, 1992. 970 F.2d 816. 23 U.S.P.Q.2d 1426 (Approx. 25 pages)

Document Filings (15) Negative Treatment (147) History (23) Citing References (4,580) ▾ Table of Authorities Powered by KeyCite

[Return to list](#) 8 of 2,938 results [Original terms](#) [Go to](#) [Q](#)

**Synopsis**  
In action for infringement of **patents** for portable load... Delaware, *Jane R. Roth, J.*, 748 F.Supp. 1078, denied summary judgment and awarded treble damages and attorney fees. Defendant's motion for summary judgment was granted. (1) **patent** was infringed; (2) design **patent** was not infringed. Affirmed in part, reversed in part, vacated in part and remanded.

**West Headnotes (23)** [Change View](#)

**1 Federal Courts**  
Party seeking to overturn jury verdict must establish that jury's findings of disputed material factual issues, presumed or expressed, are not supported by substantial evidence, or, if they were, that legal conclusions implied from jury's verdict cannot in law be supported by those findings.  
[27 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

**Key Number System**  
170B Federal Courts  
170BXVII Courts of Appeals  
170BXVII(K) Scope and Extent of Review  
170BXVII(K)2 Standard of Review  
170Bk3576 Procedural Matters  
170Bk3598 Evidence  
170Bk3598(9) Substantial evidence

黄色旗帜：表示有消极的判决历史记录，但是不足以推翻此判决

红色旗帜：表示该判例中至少有一个法律见解已经不是目前被接受的见解

蓝白条纹旗帜：表示该判决已经被上诉，提示之后会有新的判决产生

Key Number System – 钥匙  
码系统是将法律概念或者法律  
要点与具体案例相连接，您可  
以快速定位到关注的法律要点  
以及与之最相关的判例。




# 判例报告阅读

THOMSON REUTERS

WESTLAW CLASSIC

All Content

Enter terms, citations, databases, anything

 **Kelo v. City of New London, Conn.**

Supreme Court of the United States | June 23, 2005 | 545 U.S. 469 | 125 S.Ct. 2655 | 162 L.Ed.2d 439 | [See All Citations](#)

Document


Filings (60)


Negative Treatment (47)

History (9)

Citing References (6,726)

Table of Authorities

 Superseded by Statute as Stated in [PBS Coals, Inc. v. Department of Transportation](#), | Pa., | January 20, 2021

 Original Image of 125 S.Ct. 2655 (PDF)

125 S.Ct. 2655

Supreme Court of the United States

Susette KELO, et al., Petitioners,

v.

CITY OF NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT, et al.

No. 04-108

Argued Feb. 22, 2005.

Decided June 23, 2005. Rehearing Denied Aug. 22, 2005. See 545 U.S. 1158, 126

Synopsis

Background: Owners of condemned property challenged city's exercise of eminent domain power for public use. The Superior Court, Judicial District of New London, Corradino, J., granted partial rel appeals were taken. [The Supreme Court, Norcott, J., 268 Conn. 1, 843 A.2d 500](#), upheld takings. C

判例报告	
KeyCite	查看此案的判决或裁决的效力状态
Document	包括KeyCite, Synopsis, headnotes, 钥匙码系统等基本信息，及判例报告pdf文件
Filings	相关文件，包括摘要及动议
Negative Treatment	对此案的判决或裁决结果形成负面引用的相关判例
History	此案的历史判决
Citing references	此案被哪些文献资料评论和引用
Table of Authorities	此案中讨论了哪些经典案例

# 法典查询、法律解释与适用

The screenshot shows the KeyCite interface for § 4811. Statement of policy, 50 USCA § 4811. The page includes navigation tabs for Document, Notes of Decisions (1), History (186), Citing References (56), and Context & Analysis (0). The document is titled "United States Code Annotated" and "Title 50. War and National Defense (Refs & Annos)". The specific section is "Chapter 58. Export Control Reform" and "Subchapter I. Authority and Administration of Controls". The effective date is "Effective: August 13, 2018". The text of the policy is displayed below the navigation tabs.

§ 4811. Statement of policy  
50 USCA § 4811 | United States Code Annotated | Title 50. War and National Defense | Effective: August 13, 2018 (Approx. 3 pages)

Document | Notes of Decisions (1) | History (186) | Citing References (56) | Context & Analysis (0) | Powered by KeyCite

Return to list | 4 of 67 results | Original terms | Go To

United States Code Annotated  
Title 50. War and National Defense (Refs & Annos)  
Chapter 58. Export Control Reform  
Subchapter I. Authority and Administration of Controls

Proposed Legislation

Effective: August 13, 2018

50 U.S.C.A. § 4811

§ 4811. Statement of policy

Currentness

The following is the policy of the United States:

(1) To use **export controls** only after full consideration of the impact on the economy of the United States and only to the necessary--

(A) to restrict the **export** of items which would make a significant contribution to the military potential of any other country or combination of countries which would prove detrimental to the national security of the United States; and

(B) to restrict the **export** of items if necessary to further significantly the foreign policy of the United States or to fulfill its international obligations.

## 结果显示：法典注释的一个章节

### Document

- 在法典注释USCA中的具体位置
- 具体法条内容
- 此章节来自于哪些法案

### Notes of Decisions

- 提供了法庭对法案条款适用的准确解释以及快速定位您需要关注的该条款涉及的最重要判例

### History

- 立法进程，包括 graphic statute, versions, validity, editor's and revisor's note.

### Citing Reference

- 此案被哪些文献资料评论和引用

### Context & Analysis

- 探索相关文件

# 与美国最高法院合作研发的Key Number System钥匙码系统（Westlaw独有）

Home

West Key Number System

★ Add to Favorites

Search for Key Numbers relevant to your issue ⓘ

Q Enter terms e.g., landlord duty of care to trespassers

Search

Jurisdiction selected: All State & Federal

Change Jurisdiction

Home > West Key Number System

291 PATENTS

★ Add to Favorites

1 ABANDONED AND LO

2 ABATEMENT AND REV

4 ABORTION AND BIRTH

5 ABSENTEES

6 ABSTRACTS OF TITLE

7 ACCESSION

8 ACCORD AND SATISF

9 ACCOUNT

10 ACCOUNT, ACTION OF

11 ACCOUNT STATED

11A ACCOUNTANTS

12 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

13 ACTION

14 ACTION ON THE CASE

15 ADJOINING LANDOWI

15A ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

16 ADMIRALTY

17 ADOPTION

18 ADULTERATION

20 ADVERSE POSSESSIC

21 AFFIDAVITS

I. IN GENERAL, k401-k420

401 In general

402 Relation to other forms of intellectu

403 Constitutional and statutory pr

408 Preemption

409 Administrative agencies; Pate

II. PATENTABILITY AND VALIDITY, k

(A) IN GENERAL, k421-k440

(B) ELIGIBLE SUBJECT MATTER, k

(C) NOVELTY; ANTICIPATION, k48

(D) UTILITY, k651-k670

(E) OBVIOUSNESS; LACK OF INVI

(F) OTHER CHALLENGES TO VALI

III. PERSONS ENTITLED TO PATENT

(A) IN GENERAL, k851-k860

(B) INVENTORSHIP AND PRIORIT

861 In general

862 Conception of invention

863 Priority of filing applicator

864 Diligence

865 —In general; necessit

866 —What constitutes dili

867 —Suppression or con

868 Excuses for delay

869 Reduction of inventio

THOMSON REUTERS

WESTLAW

866 —What const...

Search k866 —What constitutes diligence

All State & Federal

Q

NARROW:

Select Multiple Filters

Search within results

Q

Key Number

Select

Jurisdiction

Federal

266

Date

All

Select Multiple Filters

Search other sources:

News

Dockets

Intellectual Property

Public Records

Company Investigator

Home > West Key Number System > 291 PATENTS > III. PERSONS ENTITLED TO PATENTS, k851-k900 > (B) INVENTORSHIP AND PRIORITY, k861-k890 > 864 Diligence

866 —What constitutes diligence (266)

★ Add to Favorites

Jurisdiction: All State & Federal

Change

1 - 20

Sort by: Topic then Date

Select all items

No items selected

291 PATENTS (Up to 10,000)

291III Persons Entitled to Patents 7,488

291III(B) Inventorship and Priority 6,789

291864 Diligence 685

291866 What constitutes diligence 266

1. Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc. v. Olympus America, Inc.

United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit. November 15, 2016 | 841 F.3d 1004

Headnote: A patent owner need not prove the inventor continuously exercised reasonable diligence throughout the critical period in order to establish reasonable diligence in reducing to practice, as required to support decision to antedate reference in patent; it must show there was reasonably continuous diligence. 35 U.S.C.A. § 102(g).

Document Preview: PATENTS - Medical Devices and Procedures. Remand was required to allow consideration of whether evidence corroborated testimony that inventor worked reasonably continuously to reduce to practice.

2. Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc. v. Olympus America, Inc.

United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit. November 15, 2016 | 841 F.3d 1004

Headnote: An inventor is not required to work on reducing his invention to practice every day during the critical period to establish reasonably continuous diligence in reducing to practice, in support of decision to antedate reference in patent. 35 U.S.C.A. § 102(g).

Document Preview: PATENTS - Medical Devices and Procedures. Remand was required to allow consideration of whether evidence corroborated testimony that inventor worked reasonably continuously to reduce to practice.

3. Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc. v. Olympus America, Inc.

United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit. November 15, 2016 | 841 F.3d 1004

Headnote: Remand was required to allow Patent Trial and Appeal Board to consider whether all of patent owner's evidence, considered as a whole and under a rule of reason, collectively corroborated inventor's testimony that he worked reasonably continuously within the confines of his and his attorney's occupations to diligently finalize the

# 其他资源 – Newsroom & 国际资源

THOMSON REUTERS  
**WESTLAW CLASSIC** ▾

Enter terms, citations, databases, anything ...

Home

News

Add to Favorites

Set as start page

Copy link

Search all News above or browse to specific content below. ⓘ

News and Insight from Reuters



US to require new cars to have emergency braking systems by 2029

4/29/2024 | REUTERS

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - Nearly all new passenger cars and trucks sold in the United States will be required to have automatic emergency braking systems by September 2029, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) said on Monday, saying that the rule will save at least 360 lives annually and prevent at least 24,000 injuries.

1 of 3

More National News from REUTERS Legal

U.S. Top News

Trending News

UPDATE 1-France's Thales could be tempted by some Atos defence assets, CFO says

News

NEW

Artificial Intelligence

Civil Rights Legal Materials & News

COVID-19 Legal Materials & News

Dockets

Court Wire Alerts Archive

News

Business Law Center

Company Investigator

Sample Agreements

Legislative History

Trial Transcripts & Oral Arguments

Intellectual Property

International Materials

Directories

Cases

Topics & Key Numbers

Trial Court Orders

Statutes & Court Rules

Regulations

Administrative Decisions & Guidance

Secondary Sources

Forms

Briefs

Trial Court Documents

Expert Materials

Jury Verdicts & Settlements

Proposed & Enacted Legislation

Proposed & Adopted Regulations

Arbitration Materials

Forms

Briefs

Trial Court Documents

Expert Materials

Jury Verdicts & Settlements

Proposed & Enacted Legislation

Proposed & Adopted Regulations

Arbitration Materials

Artificial Intelligence

Civil Rights Legal Materials & News

COVID-19 Legal Materials & News

Dockets

Court Wire Alerts Archive

News

Business Law Center

Company Investigator

Sample Agreements

Legislative History

Trial Transcripts & Oral Arguments

Intellectual Property

International Materials

Directories

Home

News


Add to Favorites

Set as start page

Copy link

Search all News above or browse to specific content below. ⓘ

News and Insight from Reuters



US to require new cars to have emergency braking systems by 2029

4/29/2024 | REUTERS

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - Nearly all new passenger cars and trucks sold in the United States will be required to have automatic emergency braking systems by September 2029, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) said on Monday, saying that the rule will save at least 360 lives annually and prevent at least 24,000 injuries.

1 of 3

More National News from REUTERS Legal

U.S. Top News

Trending News

UPDATE 1-France's Thales could be tempted by some Atos defence assets, CFO says

News

NEW

Artificial Intelligence

Civil Rights Legal Materials & News

COVID-19 Legal Materials & News

Dockets

Court Wire Alerts Archive

News

Business Law Center

Company Investigator

Sample Agreements

Legislative History

Trial Transcripts & Oral Arguments

Intellectual Property

International Materials

Directories

Cases

Topics & Key Numbers

Trial Court Orders

Statutes & Court Rules

Regulations

Administrative Decisions & Guidance

Secondary Sources

Forms

Briefs

Trial Court Documents

Expert Materials

Jury Verdicts & Settlements

Proposed & Enacted Legislation

Proposed & Adopted Regulations

Arbitration Materials

Forms

Briefs

Trial Court Documents

Expert Materials

Jury Verdicts & Settlements

Proposed & Enacted Legislation

Proposed & Adopted Regulations

Arbitration Materials

Artificial Intelligence

Civil Rights Legal Materials & News

COVID-19 Legal Materials & News

Dockets

Court Wire Alerts Archive

News

Business Law Center

Company Investigator

Sample Agreements

Legislative History

Trial Transcripts & Oral Arguments

Intellectual Property

International Materials

Directories

Browse News

☒ Specify content to search  
☐ Select all content | No items selected

☐ Broadcast Transcripts  
☐ International  
☐ Journals Magazines & Newsletters  
☐ Blogs  
☐ Topics  
☐ Newspapers  
☐ Reuters  
☐ United States  
☐ Wires

Browse News Index

News Index

Alison Frankel's On The Case

Alison Frankel's On The Case

International Materials

Add to Favorites

Search all International Materials above or navigate to specific content below

Jurisdictions

Australia

Canada

European Union

Hong Kong

Korea

United Kingdom

Other International Jurisdictions

Content Types

Cases

Administrative Materials

Legislation

Treatises

Journals

Current Awareness

Court Documents




# 用户指南

## Westlaw Classic

With up-to-date content and essential tools, Westlaw Classic enables effective, accurate online legal research.

Sign in

申请试用



### Getting started


[Explore all](#)




How to create your username and password



How to sign on and start researching



How to find what content is included in my plan



Quick tips for getting started

### Case law research


[Explore all](#)




How to retrieve and search for cases



How to locate additional related authority



How to search with Boolean Terms and Connectors



How to use the Key Number System

# 售后服务 & 培训资源

## 客户门户

<https://support.thomsonreuters.com.hk/product/westlaw-classic>

自助使用资源，包括视频、使用指南、小贴士、直播网络研讨会及联络我们入口。

## 技术支持

[westlawcustomerservice@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:westlawcustomerservice@thomsonreuters.com)

## 需要更多培训，请联络我们

Email: [Asia.Trainers@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:Asia.Trainers@thomsonreuters.com)

如需申请产品试用，请扫码注册



# 谢谢

Iris ZHANG, Customer Product Trainer

[iris.zhang@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:iris.zhang@thomsonreuters.com)

培训评价反馈表

